



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,558		08/10/2001	George Q. Chen	01-LJ-033 6217	
30428	7590	04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
		RONICS, INC.	TUCKER, WESLEY J		
MAIL STATION 2346 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CARROLL	CARROLLTON, TX 75006			2624	
				DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/927,558	CHEN, GEORGE Q.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wes Tucker	2624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u>	ebruary 2006.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 29 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	t(s)						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-17-05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- Applicants amendment filed February 8th 2006 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 12 and 18. Claims 1-29 are pending.
- 3. Applicant's remarks in view of the submitted amendment are considered persuasive. However, the amendments presented now change the scope of the claims and require further consideration and a new rejection.
- 4. Applicant has amended the independent claims 1, 12 and 18 to include the limitation of wherein the three or more images are captured simultaneously.

The reference of U.S. Patent 5,852,672 to Lu has been cited below to teach this limitation. It should be noted that the *determining 3-D depth of a plurality of pixels in the base image by matching correspondence to a plurality of pixels in three or more images, each image representing one of the at least three views of the scene as claimed is performed on image data and the limitation of whether or not the images were captured at the same moment or not does not affect the function of determining depth information from multiple images.* 

A new rejection has accordingly been made as necessitated by the amendment.

Art Unit: 2624

# Previously Indicated Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 29 was previously indicated allowable, however after further consideration,
Claim 29 is now rejected under 35 USC 101 as non-statutory patentable subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 29 is drawn to a computer-implemented process that merely manipulates data or an abstract idea, or merely solves mathematical problem without a limitation to a practical application in the technological arts. Namely, claim 29 discusses recovering depth information in the preamble of the claim as the main purpose of the method, but does specifically connect depth information to a tangible or concrete result. Claim 29 appears to only disclose a manipulation or calculation of data with no intent of purpose or way of using the result of such calculation.

In order for a claimed invention to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result" State Street, 148 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02 (see MPEP 2106.II.A). a practical application can be achieved through recitation of "a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification within the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan", or "limited to a practical application within the technological arts" (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)). Currently, claim meets neither of these criteria. In order

Art Unit: 2624

for the claimed process to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result, recitation of one or more of the following elements is suggested:

- The manipulation of data that represents a physical object or activity transformed from outside the computer (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).
- A recitation of a physical transformations outside the computer, for example in the form of pre or post computer processing activity (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i).
- A direct recitation of a practical application in the technological arts (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(ii)).

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-18 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the publication by [Chen, VSMM99] (Chen and Medioni, "A Volumetric Stereo Matching Method: Application to Image-Based Modeling", IEEE 1999) and U.S. Patent 5,853,672 to Lu.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,558 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

With regard to claim 1, [Chen, VSMM99] describes a volumetric stereo matching method. The method involves the recovery of depth information – namely, the disparity surface d(u,v) (see [Chen, VSMM99]: Abstract, sentence 1; page 29, right column, last paragraph<sup>1</sup>, sentences 1-3; and page 30, left column, paragraph 1, sentences 2-3) – for pixels of a base image representing a view of a scene. The method comprises at least the following steps:

- (1.a.) Detecting a plurality of pixels in a base image that represents a first view of a scene wherein the first view is one of at least three views of a scene (e.g. capturing images from various viewpoints of the scene). It should be apparent from the various stereo images shown in [Chen, VSMM99] that the volumetric stereo matching method involves this step. Any one of these images can be arbitrarily denoted as a "base image". See Also Example 4 on page 34, where 6 views of a teapot are used.
- (1.b.) Determining 3-D depth of the plurality of pixels in the base image by matching correspondence to a plurality of pixels in three or more images each image representing one of the at least three views of the scene wherein each of the at least three views of the scene are situated in a non-linear arrangement and are further oriented in a plurality of non-parallel planes relative to each other. See Also

When referring to paragraphs in the cited references, the convention followed here is that the paragraph number is assigned to paragraphs of a given column (if applicable) or section, sequentially, beginning with the first full paragraph. Paragraphs that carry over to other columns will be referred to as the last paragraph of the column in which they began.

Art Unit: 2624

Example 4 on page 34, where 6 views of a teapot are used. Chen discloses using views of a teapot as it is rotated, the views of which would therefore not lie on parallel planes.

Steps (1.a.)-(1.b) are typical of any stereo matching applications. The "matching correspondence" is discussed in Section 3.1 of [Chen, VSMM99]. The cross-correlation  $\Box u, v, d$  is indicative of the matching correspondence between pixels of different images, each of which are representative of disparate views of the scene.

- (1.c.) Tracing pixels (i.e. *surface tracing*) in a virtual piecewise continuous depth surface (i.e. *disparity surface d(u.v)*) by spatial propagation ([Chen, VSMM99] section 3.2, paragraph 1, sentence 4) starting from the detected pixels in the base image (i.e. all pixels (*u*,*v*) of the base image) by using the matching and corresponding plurality of pixels in the <u>three or more</u> images (e.g. *seed pixels* that satisfy a given cross-correlation criterion [Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, paragraph 1, sentences 1-2) to create the virtual piecewise continuous depth surface viewed from the base image. Please refer to Section 3 of [Chen, VSMM99].
- (1.d.) Each successfully traced pixel is associated with a depth in the scene viewed from the base image. This follows from the fact that traced pixels lie on the disparity surface. Again, the disparity surface is indicative of the depth of all visible surfaces of a given scene (page 29, right column, last paragraph, sentences 1-3).

Art Unit: 2624

In this manner, the a volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] conforms sufficiently to the method proposed by the Applicant in claim 1.

Applicant has amended the independent claims 1, 12 and 18 to include the limitation of wherein the three or more images are captured simultaneously.

[Chen, VSMM99] does not explicitly disclose wherein the three images are captured simultaneously.

Lu teaches the simultaneous capture of multiple images (column 3, lines 56-64 and column 6, lines 1-10 and Fig. ) for use in an embodiment similar to that of [Chen VSMM99]. In the invention of Lu, the advantage of capturing the images at a single moment in time is advantageous in order to ensure that objects being captured do not move or shift between image capture from different images. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to capture multiple images simultaneously for generating 3D image data from matching the different images in order to ensure that the images represent the objects in the same position so that all of the images to be matched represent the same set of data defining the object in combination with the 3D depth recovery information disclosed by [Chen VSMM99].

The following is in regard to Claim 18. Claim 18 recites essentially the same limitations as claim 1. (The 3D reconstruction instructions merely implement the depth recovery method of Claim 1). Therefore, with regard to claim 18, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 1 are applicable.

Art Unit: 2624

The following is in regard to Claim 4. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately conforms to the depth recovery method of Claim 1. The volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] further involves:

Propagating a front of a virtual piece of a continuous depth surface (e.g. a *surface front* – this terminology is used in sentence 5 of the last paragraph of the right column on page 31) to at least one neighboring pixel (e.g. each *4-neighbor* of (u,v) – Fig. 4 of [Chen, VSMM99]) starting from the detected pixels (e.g. pixel (u,v)) in the base image.

It should be apparent from the algorithm listed in Fig. 4 of [Chen, VSMM99] that the boundary (front) of the disparity surface is propagated. In this manner, the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] conforms sufficiently to the method proposed by the Applicant in Claim 4.

The following is in regard to Claim 21. Claim 21 recites essentially the same limitations as claim 4. (The 3D reconstruction instructions merely implement the depth recovery method of Claim 4). Therefore, with regard to claim 21, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 4 are applicable.

The following is in regard to Claims 5-6. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately conforms to the depth recovery method of Claim 4. Tracing the disparity

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/927,558

Art Unit: 2624

surface according to the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] further involves the following:

- (5.a.) Determining when a boundary is reached between two propagating fronts of virtual pieces of a continuous depth surface ([Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, last paragraph, sentence 5).

  If the boundaries of two propagating fronts coincide then:
  - (6.a.) Comparing the cross-correlations of the two propagating fronts about the reached boundary. This comparison is performed, for example, in the following step shown in [Chen, VSMM99] Fig. 4:

disparity(u',v') =  $\Box u',v',d' \Box \Box u',v',d' \Box \Box d' \Box d''$ 

Clearly, a comparison of the cross-correlations,  $\Box u', v', d'$  and  $\Box u', v', d'$  is tantamount to a comparison of the matching costs of the two surface fronts

- (6.b.) The surface front with the greatest cross-correlation prevails([Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, last paragraph, sentence5). In other words, the propagation of the front with the lower cross-correlation is stopped.
- Step (6.b.) is equivalent to stopping the propagation of the front with the higher compared matching cost<sup>2</sup>. In this manner, the a volumetric stereo matching method of

To see this recall how the Applicant defines matching cost (page 9, lines 10-15 of the Applicant's disclosure and, in particular, equation (8) on page 17). The matching cost is low for pixels that are likely matches and is high for unlikely matches. The relationship between the matching cost and cross-correlation (NCC) is shown in the Applicant's equation (8). Clearly, a high NCC results in a low matching cost E(g) and indicates a likely match, whereas a low NCC results in a high matching cost and indicates an unlikely match. Therefore, by stopping the propagation of the front with the lower NCC, one has effectively stopped the propagation of the front with the higher matching cost.

Art Unit: 2624

[Chen, VSMM99] conforms sufficiently to both the methods of Claim 5 and the method of Claim 6.

The following is in regard to Claim 22-23. Claims 22-23 recite essentially the same limitations as claim 5-6, respectively. (The 3D reconstruction instructions of these claims merely implement the depth recovery methods of Claim 5-6). Therefore, with regard to claim 22-23, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 5-6 are respectively applicable.

The following is in regard to Claim 7. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately conforms to the depth recovery method of Claim 1. Tracing the disparity surface according to the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] further involves the following:

Propagating a front of a virtual piece of a continuous depth surface to at least one neighboring pixel surrounded by a predefined size window in the continuous depth surface. According to the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99], the normalized cross-correlation (NCC) over a window (e.g. one with dimensions and centered at pixels (u,v) and (u+d,v)) is used as the similarity measurement. See Section 3.1 of [Chen, VSMM99].

In this manner, the a volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] conforms sufficiently to the method of Claim 7.

Art Unit: 2624

The following is in regard to Claim 24. Claim 24 recites essentially the same limitations as claim 7. (The 3D reconstruction instructions merely implement the depth recovery method of Claim 7). Therefore, with regard to claim 24, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 7 are applicable.

The following is in regard to Claim 8-9 and 25-26. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately satisfies the limitations of Claims 7 and 24. The limitations set forth in Claims 8-9 and 25-26 were addressed previously in the discussion above relating to Claims 5-6 and 22-23. The details will not be repeated here.

The following is in regard to Claim 12. [Chen, VSMM99] discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that includes the following:

(12.a.) Providing a plurality of seed pixels that represent 3-D depth of the plurality of pixels in the base image view of a scene (i.e. seed voxels – [Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, paragraph 1). Seed voxels are determined by matching correspondence to a plurality of pixels in three or more images representing a plurality of views of the scene, wherein the base image is one of at least three views of the scene, each of the at least three views of the scene being situated in a non-linear arrangement and are further oriented in a plurality of non-parallel planes relative to each other (i.e.

Art Unit: 2624

ensuring that the cross-correlation  $\Box u, v, d$  between the views satisfies  $\Box u, v, d \Box t1$ , t1 being a predetermined threshold – [Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, paragraph 1, lines 3-4). See also example 4 on page 34 with the 6 rotating views of the teapot.

spatial propagation starting from the provided plurality of seed pixels in the base image by using the matching and corresponding plurality of pixels in the <a href="mailto:three.or.more">three.or.more</a> images to create the virtual piecewise continuous depth surface viewed from the base image, each successfully traced pixel being associated with a depth in the scene viewed from the base image. The surface tracing was treated above with respect to step (1.c.). See also [Chen, VSMM99] right column, paragraph 2 and Fig. 4.

[Chen, VSMM99] presents the volumetric stereo matching method entirely as an algorithm and forgoes any mention of possible hardware implementations. However, the volumetric stereo matching method is shown to have been executed on a computer (e.g. SGI workstation – [Chen, VSMM99] page 34, left column, paragraph 2). In this case, item (12.a.) would be implemented in computer-readable instructions, say as a "image matching module", and item (12.b.) would be implemented in computer-readable instructions, say as a "propagation module". The "image matching module" and "propagation module" would presumably be resident (electrically coupled) in some memory which is, in turn, coupled to a central processing unit (CPU). In this manner, a

Art Unit: 2624

computer implementation of the a volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] would sufficiently conform to the image processing system of Claim 12.

Applicant has amended the independent claims 1, 12 and 18 to include the limitation of wherein the three or more images are captured simultaneously.

[Chen, VSMM99] does not explicitly disclose wherein the three images are captured simultaneously.

Lu teaches the simultaneous capture of multiple images (column 3, lines 56-64 and column 6, lines 1-10 and Fig. ) for use in an embodiment similar to that of [Chen VSMM99]. In the invention of Lu, the advantage of capturing the images at a single moment in time is advantageous in order to ensure that objects being captured do not move or shift between image capture from different images. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to capture multiple images simultaneously for generating 3D image data from matching the different images in order to ensure that the images represent the objects in the same position so that all of the images to be matched represent the same set of data defining the object in combination with the 3D depth recovery information disclosed by [Chen VSMM99].

The following is in regard to Claim 13. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu suggests a computer implementation of a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately satisfies the limitations of Claims 12. The disclosed volumetric stereo matching method operates on photographic images (e.g. [Chen,

Art Unit: 2624

VSMM99] Figs. 2 or 8). This necessitates some means of capturing images, such as a camera(s) (see [Chen, VSMM99] page 34, left column, paragraph 1). In order for the CPU to process the captured images, the camera would have to be somehow electrically coupled (e.g. via some camera interface) to the CPU. Therefore, the features of the image processing system proposed in Claim 13 are inherent to a computer-based implementation of the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99].

The following is in regard to Claim 14. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu suggests a computer implementation of a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately satisfies the limitations of Claims 12. As mentioned above the "image matching module" and "propagation module" are resident on a memory. Modern memory is implemented as an integrated circuit. All modern CPUs are implemented as integrated circuits. In this manner, a computer-based implementation of the a volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] would adequately satisfy the limitations of Claim 14.

The following is in regard to Claims 15-17. Claim 15-17 recite essentially the same limitations as claim 5-7, respectively. Therefore, with regard to claim 15-17, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 5-7 are respectively applicable.

Art Unit: 2624

The following is in regard to Claim 27. As shown above, [Chen, VSMM99] discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that adequately satisfies the limitations of Claims 24. Although [Chen, VSMM99] does not explicitly mention associating the normalized cross-correlation (NCC) with a matching cost, the usage of the NCC in [Chen, VSMM99] suggests that the two are closely related and could indeed be used interchangeably. As mentioned above, [Chen, VSMM99] uses the NCC, \( \precedut{v.d.} within \) windows associated with each of the propagating fronts (e.g. windows centered about the voxel (u,v,d) on the surface fronts – [Chen. VSMM99] page 31, left column, lines 1-3 and right column, paragraph 2, sentence 1) to determine which of two coincident surface fronts to continue to propagate ([Chen, VSMM99] page 31, right column, last paragraph, sentence 5). Furthermore, the NCC is indicative of the "correctness" of a match ([Chen, VSMM99], Section 3.2, paragraph 1, sentence 1). All of this is suggests the that the NCC provides an adequate measure of the matching cost of the propagating surface fronts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to relate the matching cost of the propagating surface fronts to the computation of the NCC or designate the NCC as the matching cost. In either case, the motivation for doing so would have been to utilize the cross-correlative properties of the NCC to evaluate the degree to which voxels, comprising the surface fronts, represent correct matches.

The following is in regard to Claim 28. As shown above, [Chen, VSMM99] discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that, when modified in the manner

suggested above, adequately satisfies the limitations of Claims 26. [Chen, VSMM99] suggests rectification of at least one pair of images corresponding to the base view of the scene and at least one of the other views (e.g. "reference views"). See [Chen, VSMM99] Section 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to further perform rectification of at least one pair of images corresponding to the base view of the scene and at least one of the other views (e.g. "reference views"). The result of rectification is that "scanlines [epipolar lines] are parallel in each image, and the corresponding ones are collinear across the images" ([Chen, VSMM99] Section 2, paragraph 1, sentence 2 and last paragraph). This is known to have the effect of greatly simplifying the problem of finding correspondences across stereo images.

Claims 2-3 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and U.S. Patent 5,852,672 to Lu, in view of [Zhang94] (Zhang, Deriche, Faugeras, and Luong, "A Robust Technique for Matching Two Uncalibrated Images Through the Recovery of Unknown Epipolar Geometry", INRIA 1994).

The following is in regard to Claim 2. As shown above, the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and Lu discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that sufficiently conforms to the depth recovery method proposed in Claim 1. The volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99] operates on multiple images (see, for instance

Art Unit: 2624

[Chen, VSMM99] Fig. 2 and Fig. 11). That is, the depth recovery according to this method includes the following:

- (2.a.) Detecting a plurality of image pixels in a first image corresponding to a first view of a scene.
- (2.b.) Detecting a plurality of image pixels in at least a second image corresponding to a respective at least a second view of the scene. The at least a second image deviates from the first image as a result of camera relative motion. This is typical of stereo matching algorithms (see also [Chen, VSMM99] page 34, Example 4).

As noted above, the volumetric stereo matching method of [Chen, VSMM99], like most stereo matching methods, involves determining correspondences between a plurality of pixels in a first image and a plurality of pixels of at least a second image. In other words, the method of [Chen, VSMM99] further involves:

(2.c.) Determining a first two-view correspondence between the plurality of detected image pixels in the first image and a plurality of detected image pixels in one of the at least a second image resulting in a first potential match set of candidate image pixels between the first image and the one of the at least a second image. This process involves the evaluation of the cross-correlation □u,v,das discussed above with regard to step (1.b.).

[Chen, VSMM99] further suggests subsequently computing the *projective* reconstruction from the derived correspondence information in order to reconstruct the

Art Unit: 2624

projective 3D structure of the observed scene ([Chen, VSMM99] Section 4, paragraph 1).

[Chen, VSMM99] does not, however, expressly show or suggest:

- (2.d.) Concatenating a plurality of two-view match sets to form a first multiple-view potential match set; and
- (2.e.) Determining a second multiple-view potential match set that is a refinement of the first multiple-view potential match set.

[Zhang94], on the other hand, discloses a stereo matching method. Stereo matching across disparate views of a scene is generally a fundamental step in depth recovery. The stereo matching method involves at least the following steps (refer to [Zhang94] Section 6.3 on pages 16-19):

- (2.c.) Determining an initial set of correspondences or matches (e.g. a first two-view correspondence) between the plurality of detected image pixels in the first image and a plurality of detected image pixels in one of the at least a second image resulting in a first potential match set of candidate image pixels (e.g. candidate matches see, for example, [Zhang94] page 10, Section 5, paragraph 1, lines 1-2) between the first image and the one of the at least a second image.
- (2.d.) Removing outliers from the initial set of matches (i.e. determining a "multiple-view correspondence" between the plurality of detected image pixels in the first image and the plurality of detected image

pixels in the at least a second image). See, for example, [Zhang94] page 18, line  $24^3$ , in conjunction with the equations for the weights  $w_i$  on pages 17-18. In this manner, the set of matches or correspondences thus obtained (e.g. the "multiple-view correspondences") represents a refinement of the "first two-view correspondence", resulting in a "second potential match set" of candidate image pixels between the first image and the at least a second image.

(2.e.) The "second potential match set" is based at least in part on a computation of reprojection error (e.g. *residual r<sub>i</sub>* – [Zhang94] page 17, paragraphs 1-2) for matched pixels that resulted from "the difference between the *i*-th observation and its fitted value<sup>[4]</sup>" ([Zhang94] page 17, paragraph 1, lines 1-2).

The teachings of [Chen, VSMM99] and [Zhang94] are combinable because they are analogous art. Specifically, both [Chen, VSMM99] and [Zhang94] disclose methods for stereo matching. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to use the methodology of [Zhang94] – in particular, steps (2.d.)-(2.e.) above – to refine the initial set of correspondences derived according to the method of [Chen, VSMM99]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, that, in

Lines are counted sequentially from the top beginning with 1. An equation is treated as a single line, regardless of its length.

It should be understood that the *fitted value* in this case is a projection of the matched pixels into some view being evaluated and the observation is the respective candidate point correspondences {(m<sub>11</sub>, m<sub>2i</sub>)}. See [Zhang94] page 17, last paragraph.

applying this refinement process to method of [Chen, VSMM99], the fitted values become the reprojected projective points that delimit the projective structure of the observed scene (i.e. "matched pixels that resulted from a projective reconstruction of the first potential match set"). The motivation for using the method of [Zhang94], in this manner, would have been to detect and remove outliers (e.g. bad locations and/or false matches - [Zhang94] page 16, last paragraph) from the initial set of candidate matches (e.g. those obtained via step (2.c.) above). See [Zhang94] Section 6.3.

The following is in regard to Claims 19. Claim 19 recites essentially the same limitations as claim 2. (The 3D reconstruction instructions merely implement the depth recovery method of Claim 2). Therefore, with regard to claim 19, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 2 are applicable.

The following is in regard to Claim 3. As shown above the methods of [Chen, VSMM99] and [Zhang94] can be combined to satisfy the limitations of Claim 2. [Zhang94] further disclose that the "outlier-less" set of correspondences (i.e. the "second potential match set") is based at least in part on a least median of squares computation (LMedS - [Zhang94] Abstract and page 17, lines 4-7 and paragraph 2) of the reprojection errors (i.e. residuals  $r_i$  – see above) related to matched pixels in the first potential match set. LMedS, as put forth by [Zhang94], has an advantage over other methods in that it "is very robust to false matches as well as outliers due to bad localization" ([Zhang94] page 17, paragraph 1, last sentence). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to use the LMedS of [Zhang94] to detect and remove outliers from the first potential match set.

The following is in regard to Claim 20. Claim 20 recites essentially the same limitations as claim 3. (The 3D reconstruction instructions merely implement the depth recovery method of Claim 3). Therefore, with regard to claim 20, remarks analogous to those presented above relative to claim 3 are applicable.

9. Claims 10-11 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of [Chen, VSMM99] and U.S. Patent 5,852,672 to Lu, in view of [Okutomi93] (Okutomi and Kanade "A Multiple-Baseline Stereo", IEEE 1993), in further view of [Lewis95] (Lewis, "Fast Normalized Cross-Correlation", 1995).

The following is in regard to Claim 10. As shown above, [Chen, VSMM99] discloses a volumetric stereo matching method that sufficiently conforms to the depth recovery method proposed in Claim 9. As discussed above, the method of [Chen, VSMM99] involves the computation of the NCC between a first image window of a predetermined size in the base view, and a second image window of the same predetermined size in other views ([Chen, VSMM99] Section 3.1). It was also show above that the NCC is intimately related to the matching cost. Despite this, [Chen, VSMM99] does not expressly show or suggest that the matching cost is determined by computing

Art Unit: 2624

the summation of all the normalized cross-correlations between a first image window of a pre-determined size in the base view, and a second image window of the same predetermined size in a reference view.

[Okutomi93] disclose a stereo matching method that uses multiple stereo pairs with various baselines for the purposes of depth recovery ([Okutomi93] Abstract sentence 1). According to [Okutomi93], the summation of the sum of squared differences (SSD) from multiple stereo pairs can be used to indicate the "correctness" of a set of matching points (pixels). See [Okutomi93] page 353 (right column, paragraph 3, first and last sentence), page 354, (left column, lines 7-10), page 355 (Section B. paragraph 2, sentence 1), and equation (39) on page 362. This summation is analogous to a matching cost in that it approaches a minimum for correct matches ([Okutomi93] page 353, right column, paragraph 3, last sentence). According to equation (39) the computation is made within a predetermined window W belonging to each of the stereo images ([Okutomi93] page 354, right column, paragraph 1, line 4). In summary, [Okutomi93] shows a "matching cost" determined by computing the summation of all the SSD's between a first image window of a pre-determined size in the base view and a second image window of the same pre-determined size in other views (e.g. "reference views"). Given this, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to use a "matching cost" (i.e. a measure of the similarity or "correctness" of a match) determined by computing the summation of all the SSD's between a first image window of a pre-determined size in the base view and a second image window of the same pre-determined size in other views (e.g. "reference

Art Unit: 2624

views"). As pointed out by [Okutomi93], this would have the effect of advantageously reducing global mismatches ([Okutomi93], page 353, right column, paragraph 3, first sentence).

Note that the SSD and NCC are closely related mathematically. As measures of similarity among regions of images, they are functionally equivalent. See [Lewis95] Section 2, paragraph 1. Furthermore, it can also be shown that the process of minimizing the SSD and maximizing the NCC are in fact equivalent operations. All of this suggests that SSD and NCC are essentially interchangeable. Moreover, the NCC has the advantage over the SSD in that it is invariant to "changes in image amplitude such as those caused by changing lighting conditions across the image sequence" ([Lewis95] page 2, lines 8-17). Since lighting conditions are likely to vary across the various stereo images, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to substitute the NCC for the SSD in the stereo matching method of [Okutomi93]. This would yield a "matching cost" determined by computing the summation of all the *NCC's* between a first image window of a predetermined size in the base view and a second image window of the same predetermined size in other views (e.g. "reference views").

The teachings of [Chen, VSMM99] and [Okutomi93] are combinable because they are analogous art, namely, the art of stereo matching. The teachings of [Lewis95] are applicable to both the methods of [Chen, VSMM99] and [Okutomi93] because all relate generally to correlative approaches for evaluating the similarity of windowed-

Art Unit: 2624

regions belonging to a collection of images of a scene. Combining these teachings as suggested above yields a method that conforms sufficiently to that of claim 10.

The following is in regard to Claim 11. As shown above the teachings of [Chen, VSMM99], [Okutomi93], and [Lewis95] can be combined so a to yield a method that adequately satisfies the limitations of Claim 10. [Chen, VSMM99] suggests rectification of at least one pair of images corresponding to the base view of the scene and at least one of the other views (e.g. "reference views"). See [Chen, VSMM99] Section 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's claimed invention, to further perform rectification of at least one pair of images corresponding to the base view of the scene and at least one of the other views (e.g. "reference views"). The result of rectification is that "scanlines [epipolar lines] are parallel in each image, and the corresponding ones are collinear across the images" ([Chen, VSMM99] Section 2, paragraph 1, sentence 2 and last paragraph). This is known to have the effect of greatly simplifying the problem of finding correspondences across stereo images.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,558 Page 25

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-2214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wes Tucker

4-11-06

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

CHANTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker ( Bella